## PCCAS Paving Asphalt Committee October 21, 2015 Minutes

- 1. The meeting was called to order at approximately 8:45 am by Shauna TecleMariam. Shauna welcomed the attendees and called their attention to the provided agenda, which served as the plan and guide for the meeting. An attendance sign-in sheet was distributed and self-introductions were made.
- 2. Task Group Reports

### Asphalt Rubber Task Force

George Way provided an overview of the activities of the task force. Items discussed:

- a) Rubberized Asphalt / Asphalt Rubber conference that occurred in Las Vegas October 4 to 7, 2015. 4 specific papers were highlighted. These papers have been included on the website as part of the minutes of the meeting.
  - I. General information was presented regarding cup and bob testing of asphalt rubber.
  - II. Louisiana presented a paper on the specification and testing of rubber modified products.
  - III. Paper presented regarding specification being developed and used in Florida for GTR binders. FHWA testing trailer was on site testing these materials.
  - IV. Results of asphalt rubber round robin testing that was conducted with the PCCAS using 3 mm gap for testing.
- b) The direction regarding national efforts is to move from a recipe based specification to a PG type grading system.

#### Asphalt Rubber Round Robin

Sallie Houston provided an update on the data that was collected as part of the testing of the asphalt rubber binders. The main purpose was to further evaluate the use of the DSR to test these materials using a 3 mm gap. These were coarse graded rubber materials that had approximately 20% rubber included. 3 different samples were provided. Data is still being analyzed but it looks promising for using the DSR to be able to test the material. Some of the data reproducibility is in line with published AASHTO ranges.

Northwest Analytical was used to analyze the data.

The complete presentation and compilation of the data is provided as part of these minutes.

#### MSCR Data Collection

Bob Humer provided a review of the task force charges and progress.

Following this, an update was provided on the data collection effort from the binders used during the 2015 construction season within the PCCAS. 47 different sets of data were supplied as part of the data collection effort. A summary of the data collection and a report of the data collection is provided as part of these minutes.

Final report and recommendations will be provided to the committee at the next meeting.

#### 3. MSCR Testing at ASU

Shane Underwood from Arizona State University provided a presentation on the MSCR and AASHTO M 332 evaluation being performed at Arizona DOT. The study was developed as a result of questions that came from Arizona DOT in regard to the MSCR test. The highlights from the presentation include:

- a) Arizona has been performing the test since 2008 to 2014 as part of routine testing of asphalt binder. 342 binder samples tested, 10 binder grades (3 or 4 primary binders), not much modified asphalt. Tested MSCR at the PG binder grade temperature.
- b) Arizona was interested in what would happen if they adopted AASHTO M 332.
- c) Performed "shadow grade" assessment to determine what M 332 grades would be based on the M 320 specification of the material.
- d) Also looked at relative variability between T 350 and T 315. Slightly more variable in the Jnr data versus G\*/sin delta but stated that this difference in the variability does not appear to be significant.
- e) Reviewed the grading effect and failure if binders would be tested at 6 degree lower PG grade.
- f) Reviewed polymer modified binders specified by ADOT as well as modified binders that were supplied by the WCTG.
- g) Method in M 332 would detect polymer modification.
- h) If ADOT changed from M 320 to M 332 the number of binder grades would change from 8 grades to 13 grades based on a straight interpretation of the data.

The entire presentation is posted as part of the minutes of the meeting.

4. WMA and RAP Research at UNR

Elie Hajj from UNR provided a presentation on the work being done on behalf of Nevada DOT in the area of warm mix and RAP. Four different WMA technologies (advera, evotherm, sonnewarmix, foam) were evaluated with 15% RAP contents (different sources) and different aggregate sources.

Some interaction is occurring between the WMA technology and the aggregate source.

In general, no difference in moisture damage from conventional HMA to any WMA technology. No difference in moisture damage with or without RAP.

Reduction occurred in rutting resistance when WMA was used compared to HMA.

Fatigue resistance improved with WMA and zero RAP. When RAP was used there was generally no improvement in the fatigue resistance.

WMA increased reflective cracking resistance.

For a complete review of the information and a summary of preliminary findings, see the presentation that is provided as part of these minutes.

5. Low Temperature Binder Testing

Haleh Azari provided a presentation on a new approach for low temperature testing for asphalt binders. This method uses a DSR for determining low temperature binder properties. This test provided similar results as the BBR but the results are quicker to obtain with minimal sample preparation. This test could potentially be used as a screening type test. See Summary in presentation that is provided as part of these minutes.

Ala Mohseni provided a presentation on a QC test for asphalt mixtures using mastic. The mastic is recovered from a loose mixture samples and tested in the DSR. This test may have potential for field use for the quality control of mixtures, especially those that contain RAP or RAS. See the presentation that is provided as part of these minutes for details.

Ala Mohseni also provided a presentation on an ultra thin film oven aging method. The method presented on the aging of binders and mastics in thin films in force draft ovens that simulate long term aging. See the presentation that is provided as part of these minutes for summary and details of the method.

6. Recycling Committee Update

A brief synopsis of the activities of the Recycling Committee was provided. See the Recycling Committee meeting minutes for detailed information.

7. Emulsion Committee Update

A brief synopsis of the activities of the Emulsion Committee was provided. See the Emulsion Committee meeting minutes for detailed information.

8. User Agency Updates

Various user agencies provided information regarding issues or potential changes in agency direction or specification. Below is a summary of the information provided by each agency.

AK – Use of phase angle in the replacement for the plus testing.

CA – Rubber required is almost all surface mixes and it has to be placed with MTVs. Looking at training of CalTrans personnel for asphalt binder and mixture testing.

- NV NDOT producer meeting December 15.
- OR Started using a tiered price adjustment system. Supplier meeting is on December 9.

WA – 40% binder replacement with combo of RAP and RAS with a max of 5% and no warm mix allowed. With higher than 20% of RAP by mix, the state will have additional testing for quality of binder and mixture. For binder supplied with the RAP mixtures, the PG high grade must meet grade specified. Trial project will be constructed using slag aggregate. Supplier meeting will be scheduled for some time in February 2016.

WFL – New specification book is in use the FP-14. Specification book is available on line at the following link: <u>http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/resources/specs/</u>

9. Safety Video

Bob Humer provided a video that discussed safety measures and dangers associated with the handling of hot asphalt binder.

10. The next Paving Asphalt Committee will be held February 24, 2016 at UNR. Other task group meetings and committee meetings will be held on February 23, 2016.

PCCAS Conference – A conference will be held in 2016. Specific dates and location are TBD.

11. Shauna adjourned the meeting at approximately 4:30 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Brad Neitzke Shauna TecleMariam

# **Pacific Coast Conference on Asphalt Specifications**

	Committe	e				• •	
Asphalt	Emulsion	Recycling		Men	nber		
			Baumgardner	Gaylon	Paragon Technical	gaylon.baumgardner@ptsilab.com	(601) 933-3217
			Belshe	Mark	RPA	mbelshe@rubberpavements.org	(480) 517-9944
Х	Х	Х	Brinkmeyer	Wayne	Nevada DOT	wbrinkmeyer@dot.state.nv.us	(775) 888-7879
Х	Х	Х	Cheng	Dingxin	CP2 Center	dxcheng@csuchico.edu	(530) 898-5114
			Clayton	Andy	Western Emulsions	andrew@westernemulsions.com	(520) 622-7203
			Cole	Craig	Targa Sound	ccole@targasresources.com	(253) 272-9348
Х	Х	Х	DeVol	Joe	Washington DOT	devolj@wsdot.wa.gov	(360) 709-5421
Х	Х	Х	Escobar	Steve	APART	stevee@apartshafter.com	(661) 393-2748
Х			Goss	Don	Valero	don.goss@valero.com	(310) 518-4000
Х	Х	Х	Heitschmidt	Keven	Albina	keven.heitschmidt@albina.com	(360) 816-8560
Х	Х		Hitti	Edgard	Alon	edgard.hitti@alonusa.com	(775) 690-7424
			Но	Hans	Telfer	hans.ho@telferoil.com	(928) 766-8530
Х	Х	Х	Houston	Sallie	VSS	sallie.houston@slurry.com	(916) 373-1500
Х	Х	Х	Hunt	Greg	Ergon	gregory.hunt@ergon.com	(702) 235-7347
			Limas	Tony	Granite Construction	tony.limas@gcinc.com	(916) 855-8867
Х			McKinney	Kevin	Alon	kevin.mckinney@alonusa.com	(775) 835-6366
Х			Milar	Brandon	Mead Westvaco	brandon.milar@mwv.com	(925) 852-8668
			Mooney	Brenda	Valero	brenda.mooney@valero.com	(707) 745-7089
Х	Х	Х	Morian	Nathan	Nevada DOT	nmorian@dot.state.nv.us	(775) 784-6858
Х	Х	Х	Neitzke	Brad	FHWA/WFL	brad.neitzke@dot.gov	(360) 619-7725
Х	Х	Х	Pan	Charlie	Nevada DOT	cpan@dot.state.nv.us	(775) 888.7520
Х	Х	Х	Powell	Don	San Joaquin Refining	donp@sjr.com	(661) 327-8248
			Proctor	Ryan	Ergon	ryan.proctor@ergon.com	(303) 243-4607
			Sension	Scott	Anton Paar	scott.sension@anton-paar.com	(804) 550-1051
			Starbuck	Ed	San Joaquin Refining	eds@sjr.com	(661) 327-8248
Х	Х	X	Staugaard	Bob	APART	bobs@apartshafter.com	(661) 393-2748
Х	Х	Х	TecleMariam	Shauna	US Oil	shauna.teclemariam@usor.com	(253) 383-1651
			Tedford	Darin	Nevada DOT	dtedford@dot.state.nv.us	(775) 888-7784
Х	Х	Х	Way	George	RAF	wayouta@cox.net	

			Young	Jon	HAPI	jon@hawaiiasphalt.org	(808) 847-4274
			Wright	Brian	Honeywell	brian.wright@honeywell.com	
Х			Zahler	Terri	McCall Oil	terri@mccalloil.com	(503) 319-8257
			Guest				
Х	Х	Х	Anderson	Mike	Asphalt Institute	manderson@asphaltinstitute.com	(859) 288-4984
Х	Х	Х	Azari	Haleh	Pavement Systems	azari@pavesystems.net	(202) 286-0148
Х	Х	Х	Britton	Bill	American Gilsonite	bill@americangilsonite.com	(209) 304-3183
Х	Х		Casola	John	Malvern	john.casola@malvern.com	(848) 740-7016
			Chia	Christine	Alon	christine.chia@alonusa.com	
			Corrigan	Matt	FHWA	matthew.corrigan@dot.gov	(202) 366-1549
			Davidson	Jesse	Innophos	jesse.davidson@innophos.com	(616) 446-5911
Х	Х	Х	Најј	Elie	UNR	elieh@unr.edu	(775) 784-1429
Х	Х		Humer	Bob	Asphalt Institute	rhumer@asphaltinstitute.org	(805) 402-1211
			Ilg	Larry	Oregon DOT	larry.d.ilg@odot.state.or.us	(503) 986-3072
Х			Ludlum	Thomas	Holly Frontier	thomas.ludlum@hollyfrontier.com	(806) 319-3104
Х	Х	Х	Lynch	Katrina	Mead Westvaco	katrina.lynch@mwv.com	(916) 802-6598
Х		Х	Matthews	Don	PRSI	dmatthews@pavementrecycling.com	(951) 232-7384
Х	Х		McKinney	Cayla	Alon	cmckinney@ppcla.com	(775) 888-3415
Х	Х	Х	Mohseni	Ala	Pavement Systems	ala@pavesys.net	(202) 286-0149
Х	Х	Х	Neumann	Robert	Cargill Industrial Spec.	robert.neumann@cargill.com	(952) 388-4024
			Orr	Brian	Alon	brian.orr@alonusa.com	(661) 340-6408
Х	Х	Х	Padilla	Amelia	AkzoNobel	amelia.padilla@akzonobel.com	(312) 350-2836
			Santucci	Larry	UCPRC	lesant@berkeley.edu	(510) 665-3560
			Sebaaly	Peter	UNR	psebaaly@unr.edu	
Х	Х	Х	Smith	Roger	CP2 Center	rdsmith2009@gmail.com	(916) 652-5685
Х			Underwood	Shane	ASU	shane.underwood@asu.edu	(480) 965-1097
			Vasquez	Al	Caltrans	albert_vasquez@dot.ca.gov	(916) 227-7322
			Weitzel	Dean	Consultant	dweitzel@charter.net	(775) 720-0095
Х			Zhai	Dave	Idaho Asphalt	hzhai@idahoasphalt.com	(208) 442-7742